

List as many stakeholders from the Natural Heritage 2020 debate as possible (feel free to go beyond the number of boxes here) and the values or interests that define their position.

STAKEHOLDERS

VALUES/INTERESTS

- 1) How are classic Progressive Era debates about preservation of nature vs. utilitarian conservation still present in this much more recent case study? Furthermore, how does this dichotomy fail to capture what's going on in Nevada County?
- 2) How are the different stakeholders thinking or not thinking about "landscape" and where do these views come from? How do these different views create conflict?
- 3) Who are the stakeholders who have bio-centric perspectives? How do these perspectives compare to those held by Dave Foreman (author of "Rewilding North America")?
- 4) How have changes in the economy affected long-time vs. newer residents? What kinds of jobs are now available in the area?
- 5) How do different stakeholders emphasize the protection of individual liberties vs. the need for government intervention?
- 6) What is the role of expertise in this debate, especially scientific expertise?
- 7) The conflicts that arise in Nevada County are not necessarily unique. Can you think of other contemporary places or landscapes where similar conflicts are found?